## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION CORPORATION,

Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 (SMB)

Plaintiff-Applicant,

SIPA Liquidation

v.

(Substantively Consolidated)

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

IRVING H. PICARD, Trustee for the Liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

J. EZRA MERKIN, GABRIEL CAPITAL, L.P., ARIEL FUND LTD., ASCOT PARTNERS, L.P., ASCOT FUND LTD., GABRIEL CAPITAL CORPORATION,

Defendants.

Adv. Pro. No. 09-01182 (SMB)

## ORDER GRANTING MOTION IN LIMINE NUMBER 4 TO EXCLUDE DOCUMENTS NOT PRODUCED DURING DISCOVERY

Upon consideration of the Notice of Trustee's Motions *In Limine* Numbers 1 through 4, ECF No. 336, the Trustee's Motion *In Limine* Number 4 and Memorandum of Law to Exclude Documents Not Produced During Discovery (the "Motion"), ECF No. 335, and the Declaration of Lan Hoang in Support of Trustee's Motions *In Limine* Numbers 1 through 4, ECF No. 337,

filed by Irving H. Picard, as trustee ("Trustee") for the substantively consolidated liquidation of

Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC ("BLMIS") under the Securities Investor

Protection Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78aaa et seq., and the chapter 7 estate of Bernard L. Madoff

("Madoff"); and it appearing that due and proper notice of the Motion and the relief requested

therein having been given, and no other further notice needing to be given; and a hearing having

been held on the Motion on July 18, 2017 (the "Hearing"); and this Court having jurisdiction to

consider the Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; and the Court having considered

any papers submitted in support of and in opposition to the Motion, the arguments of counsel at

the Hearing, and the record in this case:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that the Motion is GRANTED and that the following

exhibits shall not be admitted as evidence or for impeachment at the trial in the above-captioned

adversary proceeding:

(28) Black Oak;

(29) Bracebridge;

(830) NYAG SJ Ex. 93;

(831) NYAG SJ Ex. 94; and

(832) NYAG SJ Ex. 95.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED, that the Court retains jurisdiction to enforce

and implement the terms and provisions of this Order.

Dated: August 2, 2017

New York, New York

/s/ STUART M. BERNSTEIN HONORABLE STUART M. BERNSTEIN

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

2